26

27

28

## 1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 7 HALEY IP, LLC, Case No. 23-cv-02923-HSG 8 Plaintiff, ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANT **MOTIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S** 9 v. NOTICE 10 Re: Dkt. No. 73 MOTIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Defendant. 11 12 13 Defendant Motive Technologies, Inc. has filed a "notice" requesting "leave to file a motion 14 for fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and/or the Court's inherent authority, or whatever other relief the 15 Court deems proper." Dkt. No. 73 at 3. Even construing Defendant's "notice" as a motion, this 16 case is closed following Plaintiff's voluntary dismissal under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41 and Defendant has cited no authority in support of such a request. Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** Defendant's 17 18 request. 19 IT IS SO ORDERED. 20 Dated: 12/13/2023 21 22 United States District Judge 23 24 25